1	
2	TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN
3	LOCAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
4	
5	GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE MEETING
6	HELD VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE
7	
8	June 8, 2022 9:04 a.m.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	* * *
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	MEMBERS: Frederick c. braun, III
5	MARTIN G. CALLAHAN FELIX J. GRUCCI, JR.
6	6 LENORE PAPROCKY GARY POLLAKUSKY
7	
8	
9	
10	ALSO PRESENT: LISA M.G. MULLIGAN, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
11	
12	TERRI ALKON, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT AMY ILLARDO, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT
13	WILLIAM F. WEIR, ESQ., CORPORATION COUNSEL HOWARD R. GROSS, ESQ.,
14	WEINBERG GROSS & PERGAMENT, LLP
15	
16	
17	
18	* * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 MR. BRAUN: It is Wednesday, June 8th. 3 This is a meeting of the Local Development Corporation's Governance Committee. 4 5 The following board members are 6 present: Mr. Callahan, Mr. Grucci, 7 Ms. Paprocky, Mr. Pollakusky, Mr. Trotta, 8 Mr. Braun. A quorum is present. We expect 9 Ms. Scheidt to join us shortly. 10 Lisa. 11 MS. MULLIGAN: Today's meeting has been 12 convened in accordance with Chapter 56 of the laws of 2022 effective April 9, 2022 13 14 permitting local governments to hold public 15 meetings by telephone and videoconference. 16 So the first item on the agenda, the 17 only item on the agenda, is the Office of the State Comptroller draft audit that we 18 19 received, it's in your packets, as is the 20 response letter that we provided to them and 21 some supporting documents and just so everybody understands, rather than send out 22 23 four packets, we just thought it would be 24 cleaner if we just sent everything for the LDC 25 in the LDC email. So you'll see you have the

LDC agenda Governance, then the regular LDC agenda and then all of the various items. So we had sent you guys this LDC OSC, Office of the State Comptroller, audit when we got it in April and also included, like I mentioned, was -- is our response letter to them.

1

9 Basically the highs and the lows of it, 10 the focus of it was project approval and 11 monitoring and their findings were basically 12 that they disagreed with the goals that the board has set for each project. They felt 13 that we should have, I can't remember their 14 15 phrasing, measurable goals for each LDC 16 project.

17 We, as you'll see in the response letter, argued pretty aggressively that the 18 19 goal of supporting local not-for-profits is to 20 have those local not-for-profits in the 21 community, not to determine whether they have six employees instead of four employees or 22 23 whether they're seeing ten patients versus 15 24 patients, so that was our argument to them. 25 They acquiesced, they said okay, we see

that, but then if that's the case, they want 2 3 us in future LDC projects to have our resolutions very narrowly crafted and I think 4 5 Bill has objection to this, but obviously 6 we're going to have to cross this bridge when 7 we have the next project, but they want us to 8 say something like we're supporting this 9 project so that the mission statement of XYZ 10 company is still in existence.

I I Think we can probably find a way to meet in the middle, still do what we're doing and throw in what they want; you know, Bill, do you want to --

MR. WEIR: Yeah.

1

15

16 So the resolutions that we draft for 17 bond deals are driven by the tax code and 18 everything that's required by the tax code as 19 New York law as well are in those resolutions.

These auditors seem to be totally ignorant of the Internal Revenue code and its requirements and therefore, they objected to things that we put in there like the project description, even though it's absolutely required by the tax code, so as far as I'm

concerned, you had people auditing the LDC who 2 3 had -- who were incompetent to do so, but that's (inaudible). We couldn't say that in 4 5 our response. 6 MS. MULLIGAN: And I will tell you that 7 they acknowledged to us that they were . . . 8 MR. BRAUN: Careful of the minutes. 9 MS. LaPONTE: New to it. 10 MS. MULLIGAN: You see my pause? MR. BRAUN: Yes. 11 12 MS. MULLIGAN: I was being careful with 13 the minutes. They were learning about LDC's through 14 15 the process with us, was that a --16 MR. BRAUN: I think that's 17 politically --18 MS. LaPONTE: New to the . . . 19 MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah, they were new to 20 it, they didn't have a full understanding of 21 the rules and responsibilities of LDC's and 22 they were just sort of getting up to speed and 23 understanding LDC's through us. I think we 24 were the second LDC that they had audited, so 25 this is new to the Office of the State

1

Comptroller and we were one of the test cases, so basically that was their main finding.

1

2

3

You have the report so you can 4 5 obviously review it, but the only other 6 finding that I need to -- I think I need to 7 bring to your attention is at the very end as 8 a -- as a recommendation, not as a key 9 finding, this is sort of like an afterthought 10 . . . so we missed collecting LDC admin fees 11 on one project.

12 Just to give you guys some background, 13 we closed with Mather Hospital and then in a matter of months we closed with them a second 14 15 time and we picked up the admin fee on the 16 first closing, but failed to pick up the admin 17 fee on the second closing. I think it comes out to seven to \$9,000, someplace in that 18 19 range, I'll say eight, just don't quote me on 20 that necessarily and one of the 21 recommendations is that the board should A, ensure staff collects all required fees, yes, 22 23 I agree with that recommendation and consider 24 recouping uncollected fees.

25 So from this report, we have to

2 provide -- we provided the response letter. 3 Next we have to provide a what they call a corrective action plan, which basically is 4 5 what are we going to do as a result of this, 6 how are we going to change things and 7 obviously we will talk to -- we will speak to 8 the issues of the project goals and how those 9 are articulated in our documents, but then the 10 other thing is I think we should speak to 11 whether you guys want us to go back to Mather 12 Hospital and recoup that -- those -- that 13 money or if we should just leave it alone and 14 we -- just so everyone knows, we have 15 corrected our system going forward and are now 16 collecting that additional thousand dollars a 17 year.

1

18 Joce, Ann-Marie's in the waiting room. 19 So those are the two things that I want 20 to bring to your attention and whatever the 21 Governance -- so we're in Governance 22 Committee, whatever you recommend, we will 23 then, you know, when we're in the LDC meeting, 24 recommend to the full board and then you guys 25 can vote on it in the full board so --

2 MR. GRUCCI: Lisa, how old is that application that we didn't collect the fee on? 3 MS. MULLIGAN: We closed -- so we 4 5 closed with Mather Hospital I think in 2012 6 and 2013, so it was the 20 -- and it was like 7 let's say October of 2012 and then like 8 January of 2013 and so we've been collecting 9 on the -- I'm just going to -- it's not exact, 10 but let's just say the 2012 project we've been 11 collecting that thousand dollars a year every 12 year. It was the 2013 project. We didn't 13 realize we needed to start charging them 14 instead of a thousand dollars a year, \$2,000 a 15 year, so -- we subsequently have charged them 16 \$2,000 a year, but it's that delta from let's 17 say 2013 to 2021 where we missed it.

1

18 MR. GRUCCI: How does the fact that 19 Mather Hospital had changed its ownership 20 position from being an independent hospital to 21 now being part of Northwell, how would that affect us trying to recapture that money? 22 23 MS. MULLIGAN: Well, I mean we still 24 have agreements with Mather Hospital, they 25 still have a responsibility. I guess it might

be more difficult to get to the right person, but it's still -- they absorbed all of those agreements, they didn't go anywhere, so it's reasonable that we would -- if you want us to try and recoup that money we certainly can or we can move forward collecting the \$2,000 a year.

1

9 MR. TROTTA: I personally think we need 10 to try to recoup it. I just do, you know. 11 I'm sure they may have not known at the time, 12 their attorneys may have known or not known, 13 but, you know and we messed up, but at the 14 same time, it's been picked up in an audit. I 15 wouldn't want it to come out that after it was 16 brought out that we didn't do -- we didn't 17 collect that money if we're approached by 18 anybody.

19 MS. MULLIGAN: I will tell you that 20 this audit, the phrasing is you should 21 consider recouping the uncollected fees. They 22 were not -- and quite frankly, they saw it as 23 de minimus. When we spoke to them, Fred and 24 Lori and I spoke to them, they told us this 25 issue was not really -- you know, in the scope

of things, it wasn't that significant and they 2 weren't going to include it in the audit. We 3 were surprised that they even put it as a, you 4 5 know and if you look at it, it's way at the 6 end, it's like a little you might want to 7 consider extras page. So --8 MR. TROTTA: The only reason I say that 9 is IDA's are under the microscope --10 MS. MULLIGAN: This is the LDC, though, 11 this is the LDC. 12 MR. TROTTA: Okay. I understand that, 13 but you know what I mean. 14 MS. MULLIGAN: Yup. 15 MR. TROTTA: And you know, so that's my 16 nickel. I think we should try to do that. 17 MS. MULLIGAN: It's more than your two 18 cents? 19 MR. TROTTA: Yeah, you know what I 20 mean. 21 I'm sure one of our attorneys knows the 22 attorney that handled that and could, you know, help intervene on that one and work it 23 24 out I'm certain; whether it was -- it took a 25 little while to get, whether it was paid over

1

a period of time, whatever the case may be. 2 3 Any case, that's my nickel. MR. GRUCCI: I would suggest --4 5 MR. TROTTA: I went up to a quarter. 6 MR. GRUCCI: I would suggest to the 7 Governance Committee that we have our 8 attorneys draft a letter, not a threatening 9 letter, not a demanding letter, you know, to 10 the extent that we have sent in the past, but 11 notifying them that there was a hiccup of some 12 kind and this is an open balance that needs to 13 be addressed, send the letter out to them and let's move on with our other business and this 14 15 way we would be able to satisfy the concerns 16 of the audit that we've made an attempt to 17 recover the funds, but we, too, feel it's de 18 minimus and not worth the energies and expense 19 that it would take for the LDC to put a full 20 court press on trying to collect the money; 21 the costs would probably exceed the \$7,000 22 fee.

1

23 MR. TROTTA: I think that's a good way 24 and that also covers us if questioned, which 25 is my --

2 MR. BRAUN: Are you suggesting we write 3 a letter saying it was an omission on our part, hopefully they'll send us a check, but 4 5 if they disregard it and disregard a phone 6 call, that we should not pursue it legally? MR. GRUCCI: That would be my 7 8 suggestion. I would think that it would cost 9 us more money in the long run to go after the 10 money than it would be to collect the money. 11 (Inaudible comments.) 12 MR. BRAUN: If that's the feeling of 13 the rest of the board, I don't think we need a motion, but as long as we have a consensus. 14 15 Does anybody feel differently? 16 MR. CALLAHAN: No, I agree. 17 MR. TROTTA: No, I think that's a good direction and you know and throw in there that 18 19 it was picked up during an audit, so that it 20 wasn't something that we suddenly came up 21 with, you know, I think that's a good way to address it. 22 23 MR. BRAUN: Okay. 24 MR. CALLAHAN: Agreed. 25 MR. TROTTA: We covered it.

1

1 2 MS. SCHEIDT: Do we have anybody on the Mather board that it would look like we are 3 treating them differently from the way we 4 5 would treat any other not-for-profit that was 6 an LDC client? 7 (No response.) 8 MS. SCHEIDT: Okay. Sounds like we 9 don't, so yeah, let's not put pressure on 10 them, bring it to their attention. MR. BRAUN: I don't think it matters 11 12 who's on the board, this is to the hospital. 13 MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah. 14 Bill, do you have -- it's your project, 15 so do you have any --16 MR. WEIR: Yeah. 17 I would just send them a letter explaining it was, as we said, the LDC's 18 19 oversight with the invoice and also say hey, 20 you have been paying this since whatever date 21 and just ask for the extra money and see what they do and (inaudible) their CFO. 22 23 MR. BRAUN: Just going back to our 24 response to their examination, I mean it is a 25 very strong response, there are a lot of eyes

and pens that worked on that letter, it will 2 3 be interesting to see if they come back to us with any comments on that and as Lisa said 4 5 before, we still have to submit a plan of 6 correction, I guess, is the best term as to 7 how we will remedy what their findings were. 8 If there's -- Lisa, do you have any 9 anything else? 10 MS. MULLIGAN: Lori, did you want to 11 add anything? 12 MS. LaPONTE: No. No, not at this 13 point. 14 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. 15 MS. LaPONTE: Other than that, you 16 know, I think it's a good idea to send out the 17 letter and show that we're doing our due 18 diligence with it. 19 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay, okay and that will 20 be in our corrective action plan, we'll say we 21 sent the letter, we requested that it be recouped and that's sufficient. 22 23 I think the corrective action plan we 24 could have said we considered it and decided 25 not to pursue it, I don't think they have --

1

1 2 they would have argued one way or the other, 3 but we will certainly do that and we'll let you know what the . . . what the end result 4 5 is. 6 MR. BRAUN: Lisa, do you have anything 7 else? 8 MS. MULLIGAN: I don't have anything 9 else. 10 Does anyone have anything else for the LDC --11 12 MR. TROTTA: Yeah, just one quick 13 thing. You know, despite whatever we're 14 15 talking about with regard to the negatives of 16 the audit, with the amount of projects that 17 they've reviewed and everything else, the 18 staff should be commended because there was 19 really a minimal amount of concerns raised in 20 the audit and I think that's a credit to the 21 staff as a whole and the leadership, so . . . 22 MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Frank and 23 after -- you know, you find out you're being a 24 by the State Comptroller, it's a little bit 25 nerve-racking and as we were interacting with

2 them, we realized that we're doing what's 3 expected and there wasn't really like an a-ha, gotcha moment where they said this is totally 4 5 wrong. It was all nuance and well, if we can 6 shift slightly it might be better and 7 everything, they didn't have a hard like you 8 must do it this way, it was consider these 9 things, so thank you very much and that was 10 our takeaway also after we were done. 11 MR. TROTTA: Their job is to find 12 something wrong, so that's --13 MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah. MR. BRAUN: If there's no other 14 15 business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn 16 the meeting of the Governance Committee of the 17 Local Development Corporation. 18 MS. SCHEIDT: So moved. 19 MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt. 20 Second? 21 MR. TROTTA: Second. 22 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta. 23 On the vote, Mr. Callahan? 24 MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 25 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?

1

1	
2	MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
3	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Paprocky?
4	MS. PAPROCKY: Yes.
5	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Pollakusky?
6	MR. POLLAKUSKY: Yes.
7	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
8	MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
9	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta?
10	MR. TROTTA: Yes.
11	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes.
12	It's unanimous, we are adjourned.
13	
14	(Time noted: 9:21 a.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	I, JOANN O'LOUGHLIN, a Notary Public
19	for and within the State of New York, do hereby
20	certify that the above is a correct transcription
21	of my stenographic notes.
22	
23	
24	JOANN O'LOUGHLIN
25	